

Data Transfer Batching Techniques

feat. Blake3, CAR Mirror, GraphSync, and more

Philipp Krüger





Data Transfer in IPFS?

ipfs pin bafy... !



- DHT issues:
 - Connection Management
 - Lots of WANTs
- Transfer issues:
 - Fetch root block
 - $\circ \quad \text{Find more CIDs} \\$
 - Fetch block
 - $\circ \quad \text{Find more CIDs} \quad$
 - o ...
 - Round-trips!





Solution: Batching

But how?

- Bigger Blocks?
- Send CAR files?





• Few round-trips





- Few round-trips
- Incremental Verifiability
 - "Is the data that I got sent part of this hash?"
 - "Untrusted data buffer size"
 - anti-DoS / part of minimizing trust





- Few round-trips
- Incremental Verifiability
- Query support
 - E.g. root CID + IPLD selector
 - Or: range queries on files





- Few round-trips
- Incremental Verifiability
- Query support
- Deduplication
 - When you actually want to sync DAGs
 - Or resume partial transfers
 - Or take advantage of structural sharing





- Few round-trips
- Incremental Verifiability
- Query support
- Deduplication
- DAG layout flexibility
 - Allow small blocks, useful for frequent-write systems





- Few round-trips
- Incremental Verifiability
- Query support
- Deduplication
- DAG layout flexibility







Few round-trips

Incremental Verifiability

Query support

Deduplication

DAG layout flexibility







Incremental Verifiability

Query support

Few round-trips

Deduplication

DAG layout flexibility



Few round-trips

Query support

Deduplication

DAG layout flexibility

Incremental Verifiability

Х

 \checkmark









				•		•
	ipfs pin /bitswap	CAR file transfer	CAR mirror	GraphSync	Blake3 + Bao	, •
Few round-trips	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Incremental Verifiability	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark		
Query support	\checkmark	×	×	\checkmark)
Deduplication	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	-		•
DAG layout flexibility	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		•

٠

٠

.

.

•

•

•

.

•

.

•

•

.



	ipfs pin /bitswap	CAR file transfer	CAR mirror	GraphSync	Blake3 + Bao	,
Few round-trips	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	V 🚀	
Incremental Verifiability	\checkmark	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	V 🚀	
Query support	\checkmark	×	X	\checkmark	-)
Deduplication	\checkmark	×	\checkmark		-	•
DAG layout flexibility	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		×	•

٠

.

.

•



Idea: Configurable Incremental Verifiability in Blake3+Bao





Idea: Configurable Incremental Verifiability in Blake3+Bao



h6 h7 h5 h1 h2 1024B 1024B h3 h4 1024B ...



64.

Idea: Configurable Incremental Verifiability: General IPLD

{ "/": { "bytes": "kBcpNaugz..." } },

"/": "bafkreieyjowsrfsrjb7n3qcw37cbiqfql6t7ic6bw4×5uslndmzcumuhre" }

{ "/": { "bytes": "HWIyQ..." } }





Idea: Configurable Incremental Verifiability: General IPLD

```
64.
"/": { "bytes": "kBcpNaugz ... " } },
      "codec": "dag-cbor",
         "bytes": "HWIyQ...."
```





Idea: Configurable Incremental Verifiability: General IPLD

- Not the same as identity hashes!
 - Replaced with corresponding CID when parent block's hash is calculated
- Can approach lower limit of "unverified data buffer" size!
 - Inline blocks as long as the parent block is < unverified data buffer size
 - ➔ Support lots of small blocks
- Is this a CAR v3?





Idea: Queries are code

- codecs/ADLs are pre-loaded code for computing a set of blocks from a path
 - Needs agreement on set of ADLs
 - This slows down "new ADLs", e.g. prolly trees
- Use Wasm to run "arbitrary selectors"?
 - Wasm has mostly been "agreed upon"
 - However:
 - Need to transfer .wasm
 - Concerns regarding DoS
- Easier once we have IPVM?





Idea: Deduplication ... is a Query

- Select all blocks except the ones I already have
- E.g. send a Wasm with a hard-coded bloomfilter/roots
- Split data transfer conceptually into
 - Preprocessing and
 - Transfer





That's it!

- I'm excited for what's happening
- Thank you!

